

Amino Acids Interpretation (AAI) Scheme

Administration Office

c/o EMQN CIC, Unit 4, Enterprise House Manchester Science Park Pencroft Way, Manchester, M15 6SE, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 161 757 4952 Fax: +44 161 850 1145 Email: admin@erndim.org

Scientific Advisor

Dr Sabine Scholl-Bürgi Tirol Kliniken Anichstr. 35, A-6020 Innsbruck Austria **Tel:** +43 512 504 23600 **Fax:** +43 512 504 25886 Email: sabine.scholl-buergi@tirol-kliniken.at Scheme Organisers

Administration Office c/o EMQN CIC, Unit 4, Enterprise House Manchester Science Park Pencroft Way, Manchester, M15 6SE, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 161 757 4952 Fax: +44 161 850 1145 Email: admin@erndim.org

2024 First Round Interim Report (DOC5136)

Version Number¹: 01 Date of issue: 18th July 2024

Please Note:

- This interim report is intended for participants of the ERNDIM AAI scheme. The contents should not be used for any publication without permission of the Scientific Advisor.
- This is an interim report and it includes provisional scores only. All scores are subject to change following moderation at the Scientific Advisory Board meeting in autumn of this year. For final scores and performance data the ERNDIM AAI Annual Report should be referred to.
- The fact that your laboratory participates in this scheme is not confidential, however, the raw data and
 performance scores are confidential and will only be shared within ERNDIM for the purpose of evaluating your
 laboratories performance, unless ERNDIM is required to disclose performance data by a relevant government
 agency. For details, please see the ERNDIM Privacy Policy on www.erndim.org.

1. Results Submission

The deadline for submission of the 2024 first round results was 28th May 2024. Participants were able to view the cases and submit their results using the ERNDIM Formdesk website.

143 laboratories registered for the 2024 AAI scheme, of these 140 labs (98%) submitted results for the first round.

2. Scoring System

As for the previous circulations, each of the three aspects, analytical findings, diagnosis, and further tests, were scored equally with a maximum of two points for each category. Plasma amino acid concentrations together with the laboratory method used and reference ranges were provided.

The tables (Table 1-3) show scoring to which the evaluators agreed previously. Scoring was done by two blinded evaluators each (the evaluators were blinded to both, the ERN number and to the scores of the second evaluator). If the scores were not concordant the scheme advisor scored in addition. Further close evaluation based on agreed/revised scoring criteria was used to determine on the final score.

Case 2 abnormalities	1	2	з	3	.02 alities	Case 2 diagnosis	1	2	4	agnosis	Case 2 further testing recommendations	1	2	4	.02 Idations
elevated gln or cit 1 point, reduced lys, arg, orn 1 point, maximum 2 points					2024 abnorm	lysinuric protein intolerance (LPI) 2 points, malnutrition 1 point, maximum 2 points				2024.02 di	each 1 point, maximum 2 points: orotic acid (organic acids) in urine; amino acids in urine; ammonia, ferritine, triglycerides; moleculargenetic analysis SLC7A7 gene				2024 recommer
Low levels of the dibasic amino acids lysine, arginine, and ornithine with an increased glutamine level.	•	•	ŧ 🔵)#	2,0	This pattern is most consistent with lysinuric protein intolerance.	•#	•#	•#	2,0	Recommend urine amino acids and orotic acid, a blood ammonia, and plasma triglycerides and ferritin. Restrict protein and refer to a metabolic genetics specialist. Confirm with molecular testing of the SLC7A7 gene.	•#	•#	•#	2,0

Figure 1: Example of scoring for case 2024-2.

¹ If this Report is not Version 1 for this scheme year, go to APPENDIX 2 (page 9) for details of the changes made since the last version of this document.



3. Results of samples and evaluation of reporting

3.1. Case 2024-1: Argininosuccinic aciduria (ASL deficiency)

3.1.1. Sample Details

The results are from a 3-day-old girl whose clinical situation deteriorated on day 2. Sepsis was suspected as the cause. However, the clinical situation did not improve under antibiotic therapy.

After diagnosis and the start of specific therapy (protein-defined diet with substitution of essential amino acids) nitrogen elimination with sodium benzoate and L-arginine, the patient developed well.

3.1.2. Scoring details

 Table 1: Scoring details for case 2024-1.

	interpretation	1	scores (points)
findings, abnormalities,	elevated	gln, cit, met	1
maximum 2 points (*has to be	elevated*	argininosuccinic acid	1
mentioned for 2 points)	low	arg	1
	argininosuccir	nic aciduria (ASL deficiency)	2
diagnosis, maximum 2 points	citrullinemia ty	pe I (ASS deficiency)	0
	ASS and ASL	deficiency	1
	orotic acid in u	1	
further tests (if molecular	genetic analys	1	
gene), maximum 2 points	enzyme studie	1	
comments	As the detection diagnosis of A other urea cyco diagnoses and addition, the n gene) without determination	on of argininosuccinic acid is typ SL (argininosuccinate lyase) de cle defects are not recognised a d are therefore not awarded poin nention of molecular genetic and additional metabolite diagnostic of orotic acid) was only awarde	bical for the ficiency, s differential hts. In alysis (<i>ASL</i> is (such as d one point.

Scores for participating laboratories are in APPENDIX 1 on page 7.

3.1.3. Comments on overall performance

Overall proficiency was 91%. The proficiency for further testing recommendations was lowest at 87%. The most common reason for points being deducted in this section of the assessment was that the only recommendation was molecular genetic testing. Other tests, in particular metabolite diagnostics, were not recommended.

3.1.4. Best interpretation (scored with 2 points each)

- **Findings (*108):** Grossly elevated citrulline, glutamine and glutamate. Elevated taurine, proline, alanine, methionine, tyrosine, histidine and lysine. Low isoleucine. Detectable argininosuccinic acid. Note: The reported superposition of the leucine peak can be caused by the ASA anhydrides.
- **Diagnosis (*109)**: Argininosuccinic aciduria (argininosuccinate lyase (ASL) deficiency).
- Further tests (*108): Analyse amino acids in urine (argininosuccinic acid and its anhydrides). Determine orotic acid in urine. Mutational analysis of *ASL*-gene. ALS enzyme activity in fibroblasts or blood. If needed, exclude citrullinaemia type I and II.



3.2. Case 2024-2: Lysinuric protein intolerance (LPI)

3.2.1. Sample details

This sample is from a 5-year-old girl, admitted in general podiatry unit to explore a left ankle arthritis. She is the first child from a consanguineous family. At 10 months, her growth began to slow. She has a growth and psychomotor retardation, and autistic features were suspected. During hospitalisation, an anaemia was discovered due to a homozygous sickle cell disease. The biological work-up also revealed a hyperferritinemia and increased LDH.

After diagnosis she was treated with protein restricted diet, ammonia scavenger and citrulline. Due to persistent feeding difficulties she also had a gastrostomy.

3.2.2. Scoring details

Table 2: Scoring details for case 2024-2.

	interpretation		scores (points)
	increased	gln	1
findings, abnormalities, maximum 2 points	increased	cit	1
	decreased	lys, arg, orn	1
	lysinuric protein into	lerance (LPI)	2
diagnosis, maximum 2 points	malnutrition		1
	orotic acid (organic	acids) in urine	1
further tests (if molecular	amino acids in urine	•	1
genetic recommended specily the gene). maximum 2 points	ammonia, ferritine, t	riglycerides	1
g,,	moleculargenetic ar	alysis SLC7A7 gene	1
comments	Really hard diagnos probable malnutritio amino acids, but "re	because se of some	

Scores for participating laboratories are in APPENDIX 1 on page 7.

3.2.3. Comments on overall performance

Overall proficiency was 93 %. The proficiency for diagnosis was lowest at 86 %. The main reason for a point deduction was that the low concentrations of lysine, arginine and ornithine were overlooked (when interpreting amino acid results, the eye is trained on the high concentrations).

3.2.4. Best interpretation (scored with 2 points each)

- **Findings (*41):** Decrease lysine, ornithine and arginine were noticed. Also, mild increased glutamine, serine, glycine, citrulline and threonine were found.
- **Diagnosis (*31):** Main diagnosis: Lysinuric protein intolerance (LPI), low lysine, ornithine, and arginine with increased glutamine, glycine, and citrulline most probably indicate lysinuric protein intolerance.
- Further tests (*42): For final diagnosis, please refer to urinary organic acid analysis result to confirm orotic acid level, urinary amino acid analysis to confirm lysine, ornithine and arginine level, genetic test result to confirm *SLC7A7* gene mutation.

3.3. Case 2024-3: P5C synthase deficiency (de Barsy syndrome)

3.3.1. Sample details

The results are from a 4 months-old boy from a non-consanguineous family. He presented an intrauterine growth retardation and joint hyperlaxity, inguinal hernia and cutis laxa at birth. At 2 months he presented a neurological deterioration with axial hypotonia, pyramidal syndrome and a cataract on the left eye was diagnosed. His growth was also severely impaired leading to naso-gastric feeding. He died at 7 months of age.



3.3.2. Scoring details

Table 3: Scoring details for case 2024-3.

	interpretation	scores (points)	
findings, abnormalities,	increased	glu, gln	1
maximum 2 points	decreased	cit, arg, orn, pro	2
	P5C synthase de syndrome)	ficiency (de Barsy	2
diagnosis, maximum 2 points	proline metabolis	m abnormalities	1
	P5C reductase de	eficiency	0
	organic acids		1
further tests (if molecular	isoelectric focuss	1	
genetic recommended specify the gene), maximum 2 points	Moleculargenetic ALDH18A1gene	2	
	ammonia	1	
comments	This is an ultrarar symptoms (and p amino acid chang could be made. T genetic analysis v awarded one poir reductase deficie	e metabolic disorder, but wit ubmed search) and the desc jes, the diagnosis (full numbe he recommendation of a mo vithout specifying which gen nt if the diagnosis was correct ncy was not scored with poin	h the clinical cription of the er of points) lecular e was t. P5C tts.

Scores for participating laboratories are in APPENDIX 1 on page 7.

3.3.3. Comments on overall performance

The amino acid results for this sample were very difficult to interpret. For this reason, the overall proficiency for this case was expected to be below 80%. This was not the case. After a description of the abnormalities and a literature search, the correct diagnosis was made. The overall performance was very good at 94%, although 17 participants made an incorrect diagnosis (proficiency of this section 90%).

3.3.4. Best interpretation (scored with 2 points each)

- Findings (*58): Decreased levels of amino acids, specifically ornithine, arginine, citrulline, cysteine, proline, mildly elevated glutamine
- **Diagnosis (*59):** The clinical pattern with cutis laxa, joint hyperlaxity, microcephaly and hypotonia in combination with elevated glutamine as well hypoprolinemia and deficiency the urea cycle intermediates (ornithine, citrulline and arginine) is compatible with delta1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase deficiency.
- **Further tests (*121):** Diagnosis should be confirmed by molecular genetic analysis of the *ALDH18A1* gene. Ammonia should be checked especially with prolonged fasting/infections.



3.4. Comments on the whole of the first circulation results 2024

We hope that we were able to provide the participants with three interesting and instructive cases. The overall proficiency of 93% was above the expected range. This is pleasing, especially in cases that are actually difficult to diagnose (such as lysinuric protein intolerance) or are very rare.

The methods used by the participants for amino acid analysis are LC-MS/MS with a share of 44% and HPLC with ninhydrin detection with a share of 37%.

Table 4: Laboratory methods for the analysis of amino acids used by the participants (139/143 participants completed this question)

Method	No of responses
LC-MS/MS	61
Ion-exchange chrom Ninhydrin 1/2 Int. Std	48
Reverse phase HPLC/UPLC with non MS detection	16
LC-MS	8
Ion-exchange chrom Ninhydrin 0 Int. Std	3
GC-FID and/or Reverse phase HPLC with Fluorimetric detection	1
Ion-exchange chrom Ninhydrin	1
LC-UV	1
Total	139

Table 5: Overall scores for the first circulation in the Amino Acid Interpretation scheme

		202	4.01			202	4.02				2024.01 - .03		
	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Totals
Total Points	269	255	243	767	266	242	269	777	278	251	258	787	1619
% proficiency	96%	91%	87%	91%	95%	86%	96%	93%	99%	90%	92%	94%	93%

Key

A = Findings, abnormalities

D = Diagnosis

R = Recommendations for further testing



Figure 3: Detailed scores for the first circulation in the Amino Acid Interpretation scheme

We encourage participants to send us comments and suggestions regarding this scheme and do not hesitate to contact us if you question any of our scoring.

Date: 18th July 2024

The Scientific Evaluators

Celle - Buy

Sabine Scholl-Bürgi, Scientific Advisor Scheme Assessors: Apolline Imbard (Deputy Scientific Advisor), Olivier Braissant, Rachel Carling, Alistair Horman, Daniela Karall, and Anke Schumann

ERNDIM

APPENDIX 1. Detailed scores for submitting laboratories

<u>Key</u>

A = Findings, <u>A</u>bnormalities

D = <u>D</u>iagnosis

 $R = \underline{R}$ ecommendations for further testing

DNS = did not submit any results

Anon.		202	24.01			202	24.02			202	24.03		2024.0103
number	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Total Score
1	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
2	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
3	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	15.0
4	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
5	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
6	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	11.0
7	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
8	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
9	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
10	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
11	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
12	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
13	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
14	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	11.0
15	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
16	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	8.0
17	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
18	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
19	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	12.0
20		0.0		0.0				0.0				0.0	0.0
21	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
22	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
23	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
24	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
25	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
26	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
27	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
28	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
29	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
30	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
31	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
32	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
33	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
34	1.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
35	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
36	2.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
37	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	11.0
38	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	14.0



Anon.		202	24.01			202	24.02			202	24.03		2024.0103
number	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Total Score
39	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
40	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
41	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
42	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	11.0
43	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
44	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	14.0
45	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
46	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
47	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	14.0
48	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
49	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
50	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
51	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
52	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
53	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
54	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
55	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	11.0
56	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
57	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
58	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
59	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
60	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
61	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
62	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
63	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
64	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
65	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
66	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
67	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
68	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
69	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
70	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
71	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	15.0
72	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
73	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
74	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
75	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
76	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
77	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
78	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
79	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
80	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	15.0
81	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
82	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
83	2.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	12.0



Anon.		202	24.01			202	24.02			202	24.03		2024.0103	
lab number	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Total Score	
84	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	11.0	
85	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	13.0	
86	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
87	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
88	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
89													DNS	
90	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	13.0	
91	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	14.0	
92	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
93	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
94	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
95	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	17.0	
96	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
97	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
98	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	14.0	
99	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
100	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0	
101	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0	
102	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	13.0	
103	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	14.0	
104	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
105	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
106	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
107	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	13.0	
108	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
109	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
110	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
111	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
112	1.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0	
113	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	13.0	
114	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
115	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
116	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	15.0	
117	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
118	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
119	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
120	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
121	2.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0	
122	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0	
123	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
124	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
125	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0	
126	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	13.0	
127													DNS	
128	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0	



Anon.		202	24.01			202	24.02			202	24.03		2024.0103
number	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Α	D	R	Sum	Total Score
129	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
130	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
131	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
132	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
133	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
134	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
135	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
136	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	15.0
137	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
138	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
139	1.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
140	2.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	17.0
141	2.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	16.0
142	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	18.0
143													DNS

<u>APPENDIX 2.</u> Change log (changes since the last version)

Version Number	Published	Amendments
1	18 July 2024	2024 first round interim report published

END OF REPORT