

## ANNUAL REPORT 2024

Scheme Organiser	Scientific Advisor	Website for reporting results	Administration office
Dr. C.W. Weykamp Streekziekenhuis Koningin Beatrix MCA Laboratory Beatrixpark 1 7101 BN Winterswijk The Netherlands e-mail: <a href="mailto:mca.office@skbwinterswijk.nl">mca.office@skbwinterswijk.nl</a>	Dr. Rafael Artuch Hospital Sant Joan de Deu Clinical Biochemistry Dept/ CIBERER Passeig Sant Joan de Deu 2 Esplugues de Llobregat 08950 Barcelona Spain e-mail: <a href="mailto:Rartuch@sjdhospitalbarcelona.org">Rartuch@sjdhospitalbarcelona.org</a>	Mrs. Irene de Graaf Streekziekenhuis Koningin Beatrix MCA Laboratory Beatrixpark 1 7101 BN Winterswijk The Netherlands e-mail : <a href="mailto:i.degraaf@skbwinterswijk.nl">i.degraaf@skbwinterswijk.nl</a>	ERNDIM Administration Office c/o EMQN CIC, Unit 4, Enterprise House, Manchester Science Park Pencroft Way Manchester M15 6SE United Kingdom. e-mail: <a href="mailto:admin@erndim.org">admin@erndim.org</a>

Published: Barcelona-Winterswijk, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2025<sup>1</sup>

### 1. **Purpose**

The purpose of the ERNDIM External Quality Assurance Scheme for Special Assays in Serum is the monitoring of the analytical quality of the quantitative assay of a range of analytes in serum in laboratories involved in the diagnosis of patients with inherited metabolic disorders. For details see [www.erndim.org](http://www.erndim.org) / [www.ERNDIMQA.nl](http://www.ERNDIMQA.nl)

### 2. **Participants**

A total of 283 datasets (247 labs) have been submitted, for 8 of them an annual report could not be generated due to insufficient data submission. 9 laboratories did not submit results at all.

### 3. **Design**

The Scheme has been designed, planned and coordinated by the scientific advisor (Dr. Rafael Artuch) and Dr. C.W. Weykamp as scheme organiser (on behalf of MCA Laboratory), both appointed by and according to the procedure of the ERNDIM Board. The design includes samples and reports to provide information with a balance between short-term and long-term reports and between detailed and aggregated information. As a subcontractor of ERNDIM, the MCA Laboratory prepares and distributes the EQA samples to the scheme participants and provide a website for on-line submission of results and access to scheme reports.

### **Samples**

The scheme consisted of 8 lyophilized samples, all prepared from the same basic serum but with various amounts of added analytes. The samples were identical two by two: the pairs analytes, their source, and the added amounts are in the table below

<sup>1</sup> If this Annual Report is not Version 1 for this scheme year, go to APPENDIX 1 for details of the changes made since the last version of this document.

except for biotinidase activity, NEFA and total cholesterol concentrations which are endogenous. Samples have been tested for stability and homogeneity according to ISO 13528.

Table 1.

Analyte	Source:	Units	Added Amounts			
			Sample Pair 2024. 01 - 06	Sample Pair 2024. 02 - 07	Sample Pair 2024. 03 - 08	Sample Pair 2024. 04 - 05
3-OH-Butyric Acid	Sigma 298360	mmol/L	2.44	0.00	3.94	0.94
7-Dehydrocholesterol	Sigma 30800	µmol/L	73.98	0.00	8.94	169.01
7-Ketocholesterol	Sigma C2394	µmol/L	0.50	0.10	1.00	1.50
Biotinidase	Endogenous	nmol/min/ml serum				
C22:0 Behenic acid	Sigma 216941	µmol/L	56.02	0.00	36.01	15.99
C24:0 Lignoceric acid	Sigma L6641	µmol/L	45.99	0.99	10.98	31.04
C26:0 Cerotic acid	Sigma H0388	µmol/L	1.00	0.00	4.07	9.05
C26:0 LPC	Sigma 855810P	µmol/L	3.01	0.29	0.62	1.58
Carnitine Free	Sigma C0283	µmol/L	61.57	0.00	102.06	11.85
Cholestane-3b. 5a. 6b-triol	Merck 700054P	µmol/L	0.80	0.05	0.30	0.50
Cholestanol	Sigma D6128	µmol/L	12.92	0.00	68.04	93.01
Cholesterol	Endogenous	mmol/L				
Coenzyme Q10	Sigma C9538	µmol/L	3.95	0.00	0.99	2.01
Creatine	Sigma C3630	µmol/L	43.26	0.00	63.25	23.46
Galactose	Sigma G0750	µmol/L	1199.89	50.16	500.05	1899.90
Glucosylsphingosine	Sigma 43659	nmol/L	599.94	0.00	1002.10	402.16
Guanidinoacetate	Sigma G11608	µmol/L	13.25	1.04	18.97	6.76
Homocysteine	Sigma H6010	µmol/L	200.33	0.00	15.08	40.07
Lactic Acid	Sigma L7022	mmol/L	2.19	0.00	4.19	6.49
LysoGb3	Sigma G9534	nmol/L	99.90	0.00	9.99	49.99
Lysosphingomyelin	Cayman Chem. 10007947	nmol/L	400.27	3.27	20.28	49.71
Methylmalonic acid	Sigma M54058	µmol/L	399.92	0.00	2.06	49.99
NEFA	Endogenous	µmol/L				
Phytanic acid	Sigma P4060	µmol/L	7.01	0.00	15.00	22.01
Pipecolic Acid	Sigma P2519	µmol/L	19.79	0.00	37.69	8.24
Pristanic acid	Bioconnect SC281137	µmol/L	7.14	0.00	2.04	5.00
Pyruvic Acid	Sigma B8574	mmol/L	0.20	0.05	0.10	0.15
Succinylacetone	Sigma D1415	µmol/L	4.04	0.00	0.77	1.73

## Reports

All data-transfer, the submission of data, and the request and viewing of reports proceed via the interactive website [www.erndimqa.nl](http://www.erndimqa.nl) which can also be reached through the ERNDIM website ([www.erndim.org](http://www.erndim.org)). The results of your laboratory are confidential and only accessible to you (with your name and password). The anonymised mean results of all labs are accessible to all participants. Statistics of the respective reports are explained in the general information section of the website.

An important characteristic of the website is that it supplies short-term and long-term reports. Short-term reports associated with the four individual specimens are available

two weeks after the submission deadline and provide up-to-date information on analytical performance. Although it is technically possible to produce reports immediately, there is a delay of 14 days to enable the scientific advisor to inspect the results and add comments to the report when appropriate.

The annual report is based on the design-anchored connection between samples which enables a range of analytical parameters (accuracy, precision, linearity, recovery and inter-lab dispersion) to be reported once the annual cycle has been completed.

A second important characteristic of the website is the wide range in aggregation of results which permits labs to make an individual choice for detailed and/or aggregated reports. The most detailed report which can be requested from the website is the “Analyte in Detail” which shows results of a specific analyte in a specific sample (224 such Analyte-in-Detail-reports can be requested in the 2024 cycle). A more condensed report is the “Cycle Review” which summarises the performance of all analytes in a specific sample (8 such Cycle-Review-Reports can be requested in 2024). The highest degree of data aggregation can be found in the Annual Report which summarises the performance of all analytes from all 8 samples (one such Annual-Report can be requested in 2024).

#### 4. **Discussion of Results in the Annual Report 2024**

In this section the results of the annual report 2024 are summarised in terms of accuracy, precision, linearity, recovery, inter-laboratory CV, and cross sectional relations. Please keep at hand your Annual Report from the Interactive Website when you read the “guided tour” below and keep in mind that we only discuss the results of “all labs”: it is up to you to inspect and interpret the specific results of your laboratory.

There are three issues that require attention:

- a. Biotinidase, cholesterol and NEFA
- b. Bacterial contamination with impact on galactose and pyruvic acid
- c. Low homocysteine results of immunoassays

##### **a. Biotinidase, cholesterol and NEFA**

Due to the absence of spiking, recovery and linearity data are unavailable for these analytes. Therefore, they are excluded from the annual report. However, we have retained these analytes within the scheme, particularly for participant laboratories' accreditation requirements.

##### **b. Bacterial contamination with impact on galactose and pyruvic acid**

Throughout the year, bacterial contamination was apparent in the samples, which compromised the accuracy of galactose and pyruvic acid measurements. This impact is demonstrated in Table 2, which compares 2024 performance with 2023.

*Table 2. Difference performance Galactose and Pyruvic Acid in 2023 and 2024*

Parameter Annual Report	Galactose		Pyruvic Acid	
	2023	2024	2023	2024
Precision (CV%)	3%	93%	7%	30%
Linearity (r)	0.999	0.735	0.903	-0.182
Recovery (%)	82%	22%	80%	-14%
Interlab CV (%)	13%	52%	15%	48%

There is a dramatic decrease of the mean performance for all four parameters on the annual report. Example: for galactose the mean precision (the within laboratory CV)

rises from 3% in 2023 to 93% in 2024 and the Interlab CV (between laboratory CV) goes from 13 to 52%.

Based on these results, galactose and pyruvic acid were removed from the annual report to prevent laboratories from receiving poor scores (corrective action).

Gentamicin effectively prevents bacterial contamination without affecting any analytes. This has been validated, and therefore, gentamicin will be added to the 2025 samples (preventive action).

### **c. Low Homocysteine results of Immunoassays**

This year many of the laboratories using an immunoassay showed remarkably low results, especially in the samples with the lower concentrations. Table 3 shows the results of the 13 laboratories using an immunoassay. Results are the mean of the respective pairs.

*Table 3. Sample pair means for homocysteine of immunoassay laboratories.*

<b>Laboratory</b>	<b>Mean Pair 1/6</b>	<b>Mean Pair 2/7</b>	<b>Mean Pair 3/8</b>	<b>Mean Pair 4/5</b>
1	177	11	21	39
2	182	9	18	35
3	202	9	22	43
4	202	9	19	38
5	200	10	22	40
6	175	7	20	38
7	212	10	21	38
8	189	8	20	38
9	174	10	25	43
10	192	20	31	56
11	216	18	35	63
12	199	9	20	39
13	217	17	32	56
Spike	200	0	15	40
Mean all labs	203	17	32	57

It can be seen that, compared to the mean of all labs, results of immunoassay participants are significantly lower in the samples with the lower concentrations but not in the sample with the highest concentration. Also, that three immunoassay users (green) had results comparable with the mean of all labs (amber). There is no explanation for this phenomenon.

We checked the impact of the phenomenon on the score of the immunoassay laboratories. None of the immunoassay labs had a poor performance score for homocysteine. The reason is that the differences are small and that at the highest level there is no difference at all with the other labs.

## **4.1 Accuracy**

A first approach to describe the accuracy is to compare the mean outcome in your lab of the eight samples with the mean outcome of all labs. This is done in the first columns of the annual report. It can be seen that the mean outcome for all labs for free Carnitine free is 72.6 µmol/L.

It is important to recognise that using ERNDIM Quantitative EQA material to establish bias is potentially a limitation. The bias of the method has been determined by comparing results to a derivation of the ERNDIM all laboratory trimmed mean, not a true target value. As the materials produced by the scheme are not reference materials, the bias determined is not a measure of absolute accuracy and is simply a measure of performance relative to other laboratories.

#### **4.2 Precision**

Reproducibility is an important parameter for quality in the laboratory and is encountered in the schemes' design. Samples come in pairs which can be regarded as duplicates from which CV's can be calculated (Intra laboratory CV as indicator for reproducibility). Outcome for your lab in comparison to the median of all labs is shown in column "Precision" of the Annual Report. Precision ranges from 4.1% for lactic acid to 19.1% for Coenzyme Q10. The overall precision of 8.9% is quite satisfying.

#### **4.3 Linearity**

Linearity over the whole relevant analytical range is another important parameter for analytical quality. Again, this is encountered in the Schemes' design. With weighed quantities on the x-axis and your measured quantities on the y-axis the coefficient of regression (r) has been calculated. Outcome for your lab in comparison to the median of all labs is in the column "Linearity" of the annual report. It can be seen that the coefficient of regression is best for Homocysteine and Methylmalonic acid (0.999) and lowest for Coenzyme Q10 (0.866).

#### **4.4 Recovery**

A second approach to describe accuracy is the percentage recovery of added analyte. In this approach it is assumed that the recovery of the weighed quantities is the target value. The correlation between weighed quantities as added to the samples (on the x-axis) and your measured quantities (on the y-axis) has been calculated. The slope of the correlation multiplied by 100% is your recovery of the added amounts. Outcome for your lab in comparison to median outcome of all labs is shown in the column "Recovery" in the Annual Report. For all labs the recovery ranges from 33% for Coenzyme Q10 to 106% for 7-ketocholesterol. The overall recovery was 86%.

#### **4.5 Interlab CV**

For comparison of outcome for one patient in different hospitals and for use of shared reference values it is relevant to have a high degree of harmonization between results of various laboratories. Part of the schemes' design is to monitor this by calculating the Interlaboratory CV. This, along with the number of laboratories who submitted results, is shown in the column "Data all Labs" in the Annual Report. It can be seen that most laboratories submitted results for 3-hydroxybutyric acid (n=118) whereas only 11 labs submitted results for 7-Ketocholesterol. The Inter-laboratory CV ranges from 5.76% for Lactic Acid to 90.4% for Coenzyme Q10.

#### **4.6 Cross Sectional Relations**

The various parameters as described above often have an interrelation: more than one parameter directs towards good or bad analytical control.

A typical example of good analytical control is lactic acid: many (80) laboratories submitted results, the reproducibility within the labs is good (precision of 4.1%), the interlab CV is good (5.76%), linearity is good (0.986) as is the recovery (95%).

#### **4.7 Your performance: Flags**

In order to easily judge performance of individual laboratories the annual report of an individual laboratory may include flags with different colours in case of poor performance for accuracy, precision, linearity and recovery. Analytes with satisfactory performance for at least three of the four parameters (thus no or only one flag) receive a green flag. Thus, a green flag indicates satisfactory performance for analysis of that particular analyte. Criteria for flags can be found in the general information on the website (on this website under general information; interactive website, explanation annual report).

#### 4.8 **Poor Performance Policy**

A wide dispersion in the overall performance of individual laboratories is evident. Table 2 shows the percentage of flags observed. 58% of the laboratories have no flag at all and thus have attained excellent overall performance. In contrast, at the other extreme there are also 4% of laboratories with more than 25% red flags. Intensive discussion within the Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) has resulted in a harmonised scoring system that has been in place for the quantitative schemes for more than ten years; Likewise, there has been agreement as to what constitutes satisfactory performance. Both parameters are checked annually and, if necessary, re-evaluated. The ERNDIM Board has decided that the Scientific Advisor will judge the performance of the individual laboratories based on these levels of satisfactory performance and issue a letter of advice of failure to achieve satisfactory performance to those laboratories which do not achieve satisfactory performance. The letter is intended to instigate dialogue between the EQA scheme organiser and the participating laboratory in order to solve any particular analytical problems in order to improve quality of performance of labs in the pursuit of our overall aim to improve quality of diagnostic services in this field.

If your laboratory is assigned poor performance and you wish to appeal against this classification, please email the ERNDIM Administration Office ([admin@erndim.org](mailto:admin@erndim.org)), with full details of the reason for your appeal, within one month receiving your Performance Support Letter. Details of how to appeal poor performance are included in the Performance Support Letter sent to poor performing laboratories.

*Table 2. Percentage Flags*

<b>% Red Flags seen in Annual Report</b>	<b>Percentage Labs In this Category</b>	<b>Cumulative Percentage Of Labs</b>
>25%	4%	4%
25%	3%	7%
20 – 25%	2%	9%
15 – 20%	4%	13%
10 – 15%	13%	26%
5 – 10%	11%	37%
0 – 5%	5%	42%
0%	58%	100%

#### 4.9 **Certificates**

Overall performance (as indicated by red/green flags in each laboratories annual report) is summarised in the annual participation certificate. The certificate lists the total number of special assays in the scheme, the number for which results have been submitted, and the number for which satisfactory performance has been achieved. It is important to bear in mind that the certificate should be viewed in conjunction with the individual annual report in the case of internal or external auditing.

#### 4.10 **Additional Specific Remarks of the Scientific Advisor**

The Annual Report dealing with analytical performance in terms of accuracy, precision, linearity, recovery and inter-laboratory CV, shows in general a good overall performance. 11 metabolites displayed a CV < 15%, 3 between 15-20% and 9 >20%. The less satisfying results assessed as precision (inter-laboratory CV) affects CoQ10 and C26:0 LPC (the latter recently added to the scheme). The two metabolites showed inter-laboratory CV% higher than 40%. The number of participant laboratories in the analysis of these 2 metabolites is low and this can explain such variations. Stability and technical issues can further explain such variations.

Linearity is in general good for all metabolites, being the lowest that of CoQ10 analysis.

**5. Summary**

The Annual Report dealing with analytical performance in terms of accuracy, precision, linearity, recovery and inter-laboratory CV shows a performance with similarities to previous years. For some analytes the performance is good, while for others there is still something to do to achieve sufficient intra- and inter-laboratory quality.

The poor performance observed for CoQ10 lead us to remove this metabolite from the SAS scheme. Alternatively, as will occur with C26:0 LPC, we are planning to move CoQ10 to the new lipid scheme (LIS). Results of succinylacetone have moderately improve in 2024 when compared with 2023.

**6. Preview Scheme 2025**

The design of the 2025 scheme is essentially the same as in 2024. During 2025 some lipids present in the SAS scheme will also be included in the new lipid pilot scheme (see information of this new scheme in the ERNDIM website).

**7. Questions. Comments and Suggestions**

If you have any questions, comments or suggestions please address to the scientific advisor of the scheme Dr. Rafael Artuch ([Rartuch@sidhospitalbarcelona.org](mailto:Rartuch@sidhospitalbarcelona.org)) and/or to the scheme organiser Dr. C.W. Weykamp ([mca.office@skbwinterswijk.nl](mailto:mca.office@skbwinterswijk.nl)).

Barcelona, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2025



Mr. Rafael Artuch  
Scientific Advisor

Please note:

This annual report is intended for participants of the ERNDIM Special Assays in Serum scheme. The contents should not be used for any publication without permission of the scheme advisor.

The fact that your laboratory participates in ERNDIM schemes is not confidential. However, the raw data and performance scores are confidential and will be shared within ERNDIM for the purpose of evaluating your laboratory performance, unless ERNDIM is required to disclose performance data by a relevant government agency. For details, please see the terms and conditions in the ERNDIM Privacy Policy on [www.erndim.org](http://www.erndim.org).

**APPENDIX 1. Change log (changes since the last version)**

Version Number	Published	Amendments
1	10 <sup>th</sup> March 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 2024 annual report published</li></ul>

**END**